

Liberal Neutrality

[DOWNLOAD HERE](#)

1;Acknowledgements;9 2;1 Introduction;11 2.1;1.1 The challenges of diversity;11 2.2;1.2 Leitkultur;12 2.3;1.3 Liberal neutrality;20 3;2 Defining liberal neutrality;22 3.1;2.1 Neutrality is an ill-defined term;22 3.2;2.2 Conceptions of neutrality;25 3.2.1;2.2.1 Neutrality of impact;26 3.2.2;2.2.2 Neutrality as equality of opportunity;28 3.2.3;2.2.3 Justificatory neutrality;31 3.3;2.3 Contexts of neutrality;35 3.3.1;2.3.1 Constitutional essentials;36 3.3.2;2.3.2 Political decision-making;38 3.3.2.1;2.3.2.1 Neutrality as respectful non-identification;40 3.3.2.2;2.3.2.2 Relational neutrality;41 3.3.3;2.3.3 Neutral behaviour of state officials;43 3.4;2.4 Neutrality as a two-fold concept;49 4;3 The right and the good;52 4.1;3.1 Neutrality versus toleration;52 4.2;3.2 The right versus the good;54 4.3;3.3 Theories of rights;56 4.4;3.4 Thin versus thick conceptions of the good;59 4.4.1;3.4.1 Rawls s thin theory of the good;63 4.4.2;3.4.2 Dworkin s thin theory of the good;66 4.5;3.5 Another complication;68 4.6;3.6 Comprehensive versus political conceptions;69 4.7;3.7 The need for a thin political theory of the good;72 5;4 Grounding neutrality;74 5.1;4.1 Society as a fair system of cooperation over time;76 5.2;4.2 Citizens as equipped with two moral powers;77 5.3;4.3 Citizens as free and equal persons;79 5.3.1;4.3.1 Citizens as free;79 5.3.2;4.3.2 Citizens as equal;84 5.4;4.4 Citizens as free and equal as thin political conception;90 5.5;4.5 The limits of the project;92 6;5 Justifying the respect element;94 6.1;5.1 Coercive power and the state;95 6.1.1;5.1.1 All state action involves coercion;96 6.1.2;5.1.2 All state action needs to be justifiable to the citizens affected;97 6.1.3;5.1.3 The state has no right to do wrong;98 6.2;5.2 Justifying coercion;101 6.2.1;5.2.1 Reasonable agreement justifies coercion;101 6.2.1.1;5.2.1.1 The addressees of justification;102 6.2.1.2;5.2.1.2 The scope of the need for justification;103 6.2.1.3;5.2.1.3 The criteria for reasonable acceptability;105 6.2.1.4;5.2.1.4 What citizens can be reasonably expected to agree on;107 6.2.2;5.2.2 Protecting rights justifies coercion;109 6.2.2.1;5.2.2.1 Interest theories of rights;109 6.2.2.2;5.2.2.2 Choice theories of rights;110 6.2.2.3;5.2.2.3 Common ground;111 6.2.3;5.2.3 Protecting rights is the only justification for coercion;112 6.2.3.1;5.2.3.1 The right to be left alone;112 6.2.3.2;5.2.3.2 Reasons for state action have to outweigh the right to be left alone;118 6.3;5.3 The respect element of neutrality;121 7;6 Justifying the fairness element;122 7.1;6.1 Treating people as equals;122 7.2;6.2 Rawls

s conception of citizens as equal;124 7.3;6.3 Treating citizens as equals with regard to their two moral powers;126 7.4;6.4 Respecting the two moral powers equally;127 7.4.1;6.4.1 Respecting the capacity for the right;128 7.4.2;6.4.2 Respecting the capacity for the good;131 7.5;6.5 Formal versus fair political participation;133 7.5.1;6.5.1 Cultural differences;136 7.5.2;6.5.2 The implementation of neutrally justified rules;141 7.6;6.6 The need to be aware of differences;146 8;7 Implementing liberal neutrality;148 8.1;7.1 Justificatory neutrality;149 8.1.1;7.1.1 Citizens as free;150 8.1.2;7.1.2 Citizens as equal;152 8.1.3;7.1.3 Enabling cooperation;155 8.1.4;7.1.4 Fair cooperation;156 8.1.5;7.1.5 The scope and limits of justificatory neutrality;158 8.2;7.2 Procedural neutrality;159 8.2.1;7.2.1 Equal political rights and their fair value;159 8.2.2;7.2.2 Minority representation;161 8.2.3;7.2.3 Contestatory democracy;167 8.3;7.3 Liberal neutrality in the headscarf case;171 9;8 Conclusion;176 9.1;8.1 Summary of the argument;176 9.2;8.2 The three challenges of pluralism;178 9.3;8.3 The third challenge;179 10;9 Bibliography;182 11;Index;187
EAN/ISBN : 9783110255195 Publisher(s): De Gruyter Format: ePub/PDF Author(s): Zellentin, Alexa

[DOWNLOAD HERE](#)

Similar manuals:

[Liberal Neutrality](#)